

COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM JUNE 3, 2020 TO JULY 20, 2020: Edited: Comments received via letters are maintained in the Comment Letter Records. Comments recorded in this document are from meetings, emails and jotform submissions.

Number	Subbasin	Chapter	Table	Page	Figure	Date	Commenter	Comment	Response	Action
1	ES					6/3/20	Robin Lee	The hydrology cross section demonstrates discontinuity from North to South, what about East to West?	Comment received	
2	ES					6/3/20	Robin Lee	The tributaries need to be reflected as flowing into the Rec Ditch	Comment received	
3	ES					6/3/20	Robin Lee	Should include more landmarks on maps for orientation	Comment received	
4	ES					6/3/20	Robin Lee	Landuse maps should include the upper watershed areas which aren't in the subbasin	Comment received	
5	ES					6/3/20	Chris Bunn	Are we going to prohibit the export of water out of the subbasin?	Comment received	
6	ES					6/3/20	Chris Bunn	If we don't know if Eastside pumping effects seawater intrusion or cone of depression, we should take it into account.	Comment received	
7	ES					6/3/20	Chris Bunn	Need photo from Eastside, not 180/400	Comment received	
8	ES					6/3/20	Amezquita	Will the compliance of each of the drinking water systems be noted?	Comment received	
9	ES					6/3/20	Amezquita	Do you have info on how much water has been extracted? (Future Chapter)	Comment received	
10	ES					6/3/20	Amezquita	Will you know how much water goes to the river and to the ocean? (Future Chapter)	Comment received	
11	ES					6/3/20	Amy Woodrow	The MCWRA has data from CASGEM wells that they are able to share	Comment received	
12	ES					6/17/20	Robin Lee	We need to treat this as a watershed, not as a receiving basin from upstream, since so much water does come from upstream.	DW: The watershed is something we want to acknowledge. GSA only has the authority to implement and take actions within the subbasin. We don't have authority to upper areas. We can make recommendations, but can't take action for upper watershed. These recommendations can be to county, or other groups that do have authority in these areas.	
13	ES					6/17/20	Robin Lee	Would like to know about implications on water rights from Rec Ditch. Will it affect programs and actions for infiltration?	Donna: We will do some follow-up with Les on this to get additional clarification. Derrick: RE: Whether water rights from Rec Ditch will impact future projects. If water rights in Rec Ditch are established, then yes they will come up in our projects Whole question of GW and right you hold in common with everyone else, if someone established water in Rec Ditch is theirs by right, will come up in projects. It will go into Projects chapter, and what projects we can implement.	
14	ES					6/17/20	Ross Clark	Appreciate comments record, helps us feel our contributions are integrated. On comments #1, mine, to have GSA join GMC IRWMP, administrative or strategic?	Emily: We would have to be invited. Is more administrative, not strategic for the plan.	
15	ES					6/17/20	Chris Bunn	Recommend the use of water export outside basin at large be sent to ISP committee sooner for honest discussion. If this is not tackled honestly, opens up to lawsuits more later. Entire subbasin, but really whole Salinas Basin. Referring specifically to GW. Sometimes there are regulations in place that aren't followed through.	Comment received	
16	ES					6/17/20	Colby Pereira	Is goal to get these remarks added to document today and sent to Advisory Committee?	Emily: Yes, and also categorizing comments based on type.	
17	ES					6/17/20	Colby Pereira	I think there need to be more opportunities for BOD education and public education. There is need for further information on the SW/GW subject.	Comment received	
18	ES	3	Table 3-2			6/17/20	Amezquita	Want to comment on table 3-2, well count, I think it will be a good idea to have a real count of domestic wells, small and state water systems, industrial, etc... If you have the correct count of all these wells and water systems, will be helpful to know where all water is going. If possible, recommend to have this in table.	DW: I'll take a closer look at that; administrative comment.	
19	ES					6/17/20	Emily Gardner	The East Side Subbasin Committee provided the following motion regarding the strategic direction for the draft Chapters 1, and 4: Recognize in the Plan the value of infiltration opportunities in this basin even though direct quantification of benefits is challenging. Recommend to the County of Monterey that land use planning for the upper watershed of the East Side Subbasin take into account the value of the upper watershed surface water and infiltration to groundwater which ultimately impacts the East Side Subbasin.	Motion Received	
20	ES	3	3-2			7/16/2020 Jotform	Heather Lukacs	We request that this table include all Monterey County regulated drinking water systems and clearly distinguish between type of drinking water system. Local small water systems serve 2-4 connections, state small water systems serve 5-14 connections, private domestic wells serve 1 connection. In addition this table should list agricultural and industrial users as separate well types. This distinction is made in Figure 3-6 but not in this Table. It is important to distinguish between well type here in order to set the stage for good water budget estimates, for the monitoring network, and throughout the plan. This data is all readily available to the public and GSA.	Comment received	